

# Resume Rubric

Original by Amy Raphael

	<b>Resume should effectively land you an interview. GOOD JOB!</b>	<b>Resume could land you an interview (borderline case).</b>	<b>Resume is average, needs improvement to rise to the "top of the stack."</b>	<b>Resume needs significant improvement and would be discarded during screening</b>
<b>Format</b>	This resume fills the page but also is not overcrowded. There are no grammar or spelling errors. This resume could be easily scanned.	This resume almost fills the page but has some uneven white space. There may be a single spelling or grammar error here.	The font and spacing of this resume are not appealing and easily scanned. There are more than one spelling or grammar errors.	This resume is either one-half page or two to three pages long. The font is too big or may be hard to read. There is more white space than words on the page. There are multiple spelling errors.
<b>Education Section</b>	This section is organized, clear, and well defined. It highlights the most pertinent information. This section includes: institution with its location, graduation date, major, degree, GPA (if 3.0 or better), study abroad, and any relevant course work or educational highlights.	This section is organized and easy to read. This section includes: institution with its location, graduation date, major, and degree. GPA is missing from this section. Also, "extra" information such as study abroad and course work are not mentioned.	This section is not well organized. Information such as institution with its location, graduation date, and major are included. Degree and GPA are not listed. There is no order to how information is formatted in this section.	This section is missing the most crucial information. Institution is listed without a location. Graduation date is not listed. Major is listed but not degree. Student is a senior and high school information is included.
<b>Experience Section</b>	This section is well defined, and information relates to the intended career field. Places of work, location, titles, and dates are included for each position. Descriptions are clear and well marketed in the form of bullet statements beginning with action verbs. Keywords and transferable skills are included. This section could be split into related and other experience.	Descriptions are clear in the form of bullet statements beginning with action verbs. Descriptions are not detailed enough to fully understand what was done. Information does not relate 100 percent to the intended career field. Places of work, location, titles, and dates are included for each position.	Descriptions are not in the form of bullets beginning with action verbs. Complete sentences in paragraph form are used to describe previous positions. Places of work are included for each position but not locations, dates, and titles.	This section is not well defined, and there is no order to the descriptions of each position. Descriptions are not detailed and offer no illustration of what was done. No locations and dates of employment are listed. Supervisors' names are included. Information presented is irrelevant.
<b>Honors/ Activities (if included)</b>	This section is well organized and easy to understand. Activities and honors are listed and descriptions include skills gained and leadership roles held. Dates of involvement are listed.	This section includes all necessary information but is difficult to follow. Leadership roles within organizations are listed but skills are not defined. Dates of involvement are listed.	This section is missing key information such as leadership positions held or dates of involvement. Organizations are listed describing the organization, not individual involvement.	This section is missing or contains very little information. Organization titles or dates of involvement are not listed. No descriptions are listed